

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,136 | 01/27/2004 | Walter J. Leclair | WLD-002 | 1966 |
| 959 75 | 590 11/16/2005 | • | EXAM | IINER |
| | OCKFIELD, LLP. | HOFFMAN, MARY C | | |
| 28 STATE STREET | | | | |
| BOSTON, MA 02109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3733 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| The | |
|--|--|
| LTER J. | |
| | |
| address | |
| 7 (30) DAYS, | |
| nis communication. | |
| the merits is | |
| miner. a). 7 CFR 1.121(d). n PTO-152. | |
| onal Stage | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/766,136 | LECLAIR, WALTER J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | | 3733 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | Mary Hoffman appears on the cover sheet with the o | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| ·— · | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | · | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/a | are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected | d to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-945) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/766,136

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the furcated branches/furcated means extending for at least half of the length of the shaft must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claims (claims 4 and 14). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3733

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, line 3, reference number "23" should be changed to --24--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 7 and 17, "a driver" should be changed to --a driver bore-- or similar term, since the term "driver" is typically used to describe the tool used to drive a device rather than the hole or recess configured to receive a driving tool.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the hole" in line 216. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the radially outwardly configuration" and "the opening" in lines 19-21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "relatively" in claim 12 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "relatively" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "relatively smaller screw diameter" parameter is therefore rendered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Enayati (U.S. Patent No. 6,290,701).

Enayati discloses a bone fastener having a shaft having a first end and a second end (FIG. 1). A screw thread circumnavigates the shaft (FIG. 6, ref. # 19). A plurality of elongate slots is formed longitudinally in the shaft from the second end, creating a plurality of furcated branches (FIG. 1). The plurality of branches is plastically deformed radially outwardly from a first screw diameter to a relatively larger second circumferential diameter (FIG. 1). The plurality of furcated branches can compress to

Application/Control Number: 10/766,136

Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

the first screw diameter state when the fastener is initially positioned at the opening of a hole. The plurality of furcated branches return to the second circumferential diameter upon reduction of a radially compressive force. The plurality of furcated branches extends for a distance of at least half of the length of the shaft (FIG. 1). The screw thread extends from the second end of the shaft at least substantially to the first end of the shaft (FIG. 1). A screw head is disposed at the first end of the shaft (FIG. 2). The fastener comprises a driver recess disposed at the first end of the shaft (FIG. 2). The plurality of furcated branches comprises three branches. The plurality of furcated branches has sufficient flexibility.

With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Enayati which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,136

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enayati (U.S. Patent No. 6,290,701).

Enayati discloses the claimed invention except for the fastener is formed from titanium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the fastener of Enayati formed from titanium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached Form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCH MC

EDUARDO O. FOBERT